

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,509		11/12/2003	David Call	TRW(FAS)6481	8297	
26294	7590	06/06/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
		OHEIM, COVELL &	KRISHNAMUR	KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH		
	00 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700 LEVEVLAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3753	-	
				DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Cummons	10/706,509	CALL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ramesh Krishnamurthy	3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (6), cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03/0	<u>6/2006</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 9, 11 - 14, 19 - 22, 24 and 27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 - 8, 19 - 22 & 24</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 4, 9 and 11 - 14 & 27</u> is/are rejecte							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · ·						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ved in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea		rod.					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/706,509 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

This office action is responsive to communications filed 03/06/2006.

Claims 1 – 9, 11 – 14, 19 – 22, 24 and 27 are pending.

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

2. Claims 1, 4, 9 and 11 -14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Le Valley (US 1,634,949).

Le valley discloses (Figs. 1 – 6) a pressure relief valve comprising: a base (A)

having a central portion and a peripheral portion, a plurality of openings (B) extending

through the base; A plurality of flaps (J) for closing the openings in the base, each flap

being associated with at least one opening, an inner end of each flap connected with

the central portion of the base via a fastener (G) and a connector on the base (G).

Alignment members (S and T) are provided in the base and the flaps respectively for

positioning the flaps with respect to the base.

It is noted that recitations pertaining to disposing the relief valve with respect to a

vehicle are regarded here as expressed in functional language reflective of intended

use and are considered being met by Le Valley since the valve disclosed therein is

capable of performing such functions.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Le Valley as applied to claims 1, 4, 9 and 11 -14 above, and further in view of Imachi et al. (US 5,413,599).

The patent to Le Valley discloses the claimed invention of pressure relief valve with the exception of explicitly disclosing the peripheral portion of the base to extend upwardly and radially outward from the central portion of the base.

Imachi et al. discloses (Figs. 3A – 3C, for example) a pressure relief valve wherein the peripheral portion of the base (8) extends upwardly and radially outward from the central portion of the base for the purpose of providing a compact valve footprint.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided in Le Valley a base whose peripheral portion

extends upwardly and radially outward from the central portion of the base for the purpose of providing a compact valve footprint, as evident from Imachi et al.

- 6. Claims 5, 6, 7, 8, 19, 20, 21, 22 and 24 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 03/06/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments concerning the intended use limitations have been noted. However as set forth above, recitations pertaining to disposing the relief valve with respect to a vehicle are regarded here as expressed in functional language reflective of intended use and are considered being met by Le Valley since the valve

disclosed therein is capable of performing such functions. Also, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh Krishnamurthy whose telephone number is (571) 272 – 4914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel, can be reached on (571) 272 – 4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273 – 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramesh Krishnamurthy, Ph.D., PE

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753